Human-Artificial Intelligent Threat Modelling in the Automotive Domain

G. Bella, G. Castiglione, S. Esposito,
M.G. Mangano, G. Pampallona, <u>M. Raciti</u>,
S. Riccobene, D.F. Santamaria





09/07/25 – Ischia, IT

IOLTS 2025

It's Official: Cars Are the Worst Product Category We Have Ever Reviewed for Privacy



By Jen Caltrider, Misha Rykov and Zoë MacDonald | Sept. 6, 2023

Home » Privacy

Smart cars vs. privacy: a driverless car could generate 100 GB of data per second

Toyota Japan exposed millions of vehicles' location data for a decade



Related Work

S

STRIDE Threat Model

Spoofing identity

 Illegally accessing and then using another user's authentication information

Tampering with data

- Malicious modification
- Unauthorized changes

Repudiation

- Deny performing an malicious action
- Non-repudiation refers to the ability of a system to counter repudiation threats

Elevation of privilege

- Unprivileged user gains privileged access to compromise the system
- Effectively penetrated and become part of the trusted system

Denial of service

- · Deny service to valid users
- · Threats to system availability and reliability

Information disclosure

Exposure of information to individuals not supposed to access



Zero Trust, Pseudonymisation, Data Minimisation widely recognised as foundational principles

A Glimpse at SCAR4SUD

SCAR's Four Security-Unravelling Dimensions (SCAR4SUD)



Objectives:

- **1** Integrating multi-layered security and privacy engineering practices
- 2 Defending by multi-layer measures, including hardware, software, and communication protocols
- **3** Securing and protecting personal data in automotive
- **4** Integrating multi-disciplinary approaches towards security and privacy

Gap and Contributions

Traditional threat modelling is often manual and time-consuming

Regulatory demands are increasing, especially for modern systems (e.g., automotive)



<u>Our work:</u>

Takes a *multi-level* Human Artificial Intelligence (HAI) approach through *four phases*

Ensures coverage of both security and privacy threats and their mitigation

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Augmented Mitigation Plan
- 4. Conclusions

Methodology in a Nutshell

Our methodology is **multi-level** because it involves a few **levels of refinement** of the target outputs *sequentially*

This implies **Human-Artificial Intelligence** *loop* in each phase



Each of the phases is executed using different instantiations of this multi-level strategy

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology \rightarrow Target System Modelling
- 3. Partial Validation
- 4. Conclusions

Target System Modelling

The input is a structured technical document describing the SCAR4SUD architecture

(L1: AI) LLM parses the document and extracts diagrams in textual form

L2: Human) Expert validation and refinement of the diagrams

The output is a ground-truth system representation



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology \rightarrow Asset and Threat Elicitation
- 3. Partial Validation
- 4. Conclusions

Asset Identification

We operate in a *three-level* style due to the need for **semantic grounding**

(L1: AI) LLM generates the initial asset lists at an abstract level

- **L2: Human)** Experts identify semantic relations for refinement
- (L3: AI) LLM few-shot prompting to regenerate enhanced results



Reflects an iterative <u>abstraction-specialisation loop</u>, guided by *taxonomic knowledge* and *controlled prompting*

Asset	Brief description
Cryptographic Keys	Cryptographic material that is deemed to be kept secret
Device Identity	Identifiers of any electronic network component
Authentication Credentials	Credentials used to go through login
Secure Boot Measures	Information used to verify boot integrity
V2X Messages (Signed & Encrypted)	Signed/encrypted messages exchanged among vehicles
Secure Communication Channels	Links within the communication infrastructure
Middleware Interfaces	API-exposed services
Log Records	Log information supporting auditing processes
Key Management Infrastructure	Key lifecycle framework
RSU Trust Components	RSU-local functional elements

Table 2: Security assets from L1 identification step

Table 3: Security assets from L2 identification step

Asset	Examples
Cryptographic Keys	Long-term keys, session keys, pseudonym keys
Device Identity	Device fingerprint id, (physical) mac address, device (private) key stored in the TPM
Authentication Credentials	Password, passkey, passphrase, key, token, OTP, wearable devices
Secure Boot Measures	Firmware signatures, Operating System signatures, hash values
V2X Messages (Signed & Encrypted)	Vehicle-to-vehicle communications, DSRC messages, WAVE messages, vehicle-to-infrastructure communications, vehicle-to-RSU communications
Secure Communication Channels	TLS channels, IPSec channels, IEEE 1609.2 channels
Middleware Interfaces	API keys, API interfaces, APIs, microservices, Model Context Proto- col
Log Records	Access logs, command history logs, network logs, system logs, Oper- ating System logs
Key Management Infrastructure	Public-key infrastructures, key stores, key rings, HSMs, TPMs, cer- tificate authorities, certificates
RSU Trust Components	Failover mechanisms, edge computing modules, V2X sensors

Asset	Specific assets
Cryptographic Keys	Long-term keys, session keys, pseudonym keys, pseudonym keys, group keys, pre-shared keys, KEKs, DEKs, attestation keys, update signing key, ephemeral Keys
Device Identity	Device fingerprint id, (physical) mac address, device (private) key stored in the TPM, VIN, hardware serial number, IMEI / eUICC, certificate thumbprint, software-defined identifier
Authentication Credentials	Password, passkey, passphrase, key, token, OTP, wearable devices
Secure Boot Measures	Firmware signatures, Operating System signatures, hash values
V2X Messages (Signed & Encrypted)	Vehicle-to-vehicle communications, DSRC messages, WAVE messages, vehicle-to-infrastructure communications, vehicle-to-RSU communications
Secure Communication Channels	TLS channels, IPSec channels, IEEE 1609.2 channels
Middleware Interfaces	API keys, API interfaces, APIs, microservices, Model Context Proto- col
Log Records	Access logs, command history logs, network logs, system logs, Oper- ating System logs
Key Management Infrastructure	Public-key infrastructures, key stores, key rings, HSMs, TPMs, cer- tificate authorities, certificates
RSU Trust Components	Failover mechanisms, edge computing modules, V2X sensors, certifi- cate validation engine, CRL caching & distribution, hardware root of trust (RoT), trusted time synchronization source, revocation enforce- ment mechanism

Threat Elicitation

Threats are generated using a similar approach

- **L1: Human)** Experts apply STRIDE and LINDDUN to the initial asset lists
- **L2: Human)** Experts apply STRIDE and LINDDUN to the specific assets
- (L3: AI) LLM generates a list of concrete instantiations of the threats



Asset	S	т	R	I	D	\mathbf{E}
Cryptographic Keys	~	~		~		
Device Identity	~	~	~	~		~
Authentication Credentials	~	~		~		
Secure Boot Measures	~	~		~		
V2X Messages (Signed & Encrypted)	~	~		~		
Secure Communication Channels	~	~	~	~	~	V
Middleware Interfaces	~	~	~	~	~	~
Logging and Audit Records		~	~	~		
Key Management Infrastructure	~	~	~	~	~	~
RSU Trust Components	~	~	~	~	~	V

Table 8: Threat elicitation STRIDE at L1

Table 9: Threat elicitation LINDDUN at L1

Asset	\mathbf{L}	Ι	Ν	D	D	U	N
Vehicle Identifiers	~	~	~	~			~
Location Information	~	~	~	~	~	~	
Communication Metadata	~	~	~	~	~	~	
User Behavior & Preferences	~	~	~	V		~	V
Transmitted Message Content		~		V	~	~	
Log Data with Personal Context	~	~	~	V	~	~	V
OTA Update Records					~	~	
Cloud-Linked Identifiers	~	~	~	~		~	~

Cryptographic Keys

- S1.1: Impersonation using stolen keys from a compromised TPM.
- S1.2: Use of leaked session keys to forge V2X messages.
- S1.3: Replay of signed messages using extracted keys.
- T1.1: Attacker modifies stored key material to alter message signing results.
- T1.2: Injection of unauthorised keys into HSM key store.
- T1.3: Manipulation of key lifecycle states (e.g., reuse of expired keys).
- I1.1: Side-channel attack (e.g., timing analysis) leaks key usage patterns.

Log Data with Personal Context

- L6.1: Same log token reused across user sessions.
- L6.2: Logs correlated across domains.
- L6.3: Debug logs link user identity and location.
- I6.1: Logs store identifiable queries.
- I6.2: Unencrypted log transfer reveals personal data.
- I6.3: Diagnostics leak user IDs to cloud.
- N6.1: Anonymized log lacks sender reference.
 - N6.2: Logs modified without trace.
 - N6.3: Deletion of key attribution fields.
 - D6.1: RSU observer matches logs to vehicle.
 - D6.2: Offline analysis links logs to driver.
 - D6.3: Third-party access to raw logs.
 - D6.4: Plaintext export of logged location.

000

- 1. Introduction
- **2.** Methodology \rightarrow <u>Mitigation Plan</u>
- 3. Augmented Mitigation Plan
- 4. Conclusions

Mitigation Plan

The mitigation plan is obtained in a two-level fashion

(L1: AI) LLM explores candidate mitigations with *ISO/IEC 27002 | GDPR* knowledge base

L2: Human) Experts refine the pairs threats-mitigations on system context and risk prioritisation

The output is a set of aligned **mitigations** covering both security and privacy threats

Asset	S	Т	R	Ι	D	Е
Cryptographic	sm1, sm2,	sm2, sm3,		sm2, sm7,		
Keys	sm3, sm12,	sm9, sm15,		sm11, sm12,		
	sm24	sm24		sm23, sm24,		
				sm25, sm28		
Device Iden-	sm2, sm3,	sm9, sm16,	sm13, sm15,	sm3, $sm7$,		sm2, sm3,
tity	sm5, sm20	sm18	sm34	sm23, sm24		sm3, sm20
Authentication	sm2, sm3,	sm9, sm15,		sm3, $sm5$		
Credentials	sm5, sm12	sm16, sm18		sm7, sm12,		
				sm24		
Secure Boot	sm5, sm9,	sm9, sm16,		sm3, $sm7$,		
Measures	sm18, sm20,	sm18, sm20,		sm11, sm12,		
	sm25, sm27	sm25, sm27		sm15, sm16,		
				sm18, sm20,		
				sm24		
V2X Messages	sm3, $sm5$,	sm9, sm12,		sm3, $sm7$,		
(Signed & En-	sm20, sm24,	sm16, sm24,		sm11, sm12,		
crypted)	sm25	sm25, sm27,		sm15, sm16,		
		sm32		sm24		
Secure Com-	sm3, $sm5$,	sm9, sm12,	sm5, sm15,	sm3, $sm7$,	sm6, sm9,	sm2, sm3,
munication	sm20, sm21,	sm16, sm20,	sm16, sm24,	sm11, sm12,	sm14, sm20	sm5, sm18
Channels	sm25, sm25	sm24, sm25,	sm24	sm24		
		sm32				
Middleware	sm2, sm3,	sm9, sm12,	sm5, sm15,	sm3, $sm7$,	sm6, sm9,	sm2, sm3,
Interfaces	sm5, sm20,	sm16, sm20,	sm16, sm34	sm11, sm12,	sm14, sm20	sm5, sm18
	sm21, sm24,	sm24, sm25		sm24		
	sm24					
Logging and		sm9. sm15.	sm5. sm15.	sm3. sm11.		

Table 12: Mitigation plan for STRIDE-identified Threats at L1-L2

000

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Augmented Mitigation Plan
- 4. Conclusions

Zoom in on Augmented Mitigation Plan

Verticalise Zero Trust (ZT), Pseudonymisation (PS), Data Minimisation (DM) to automotive

These principles are *mapped* over the previous mitigation plan

We proceed in a two-level fashion also in this case



(L1: Human) Experts assess and map ZT/PS/DM to the controls from relevant standards
 (L2: AI) LLM confirms or perfects the mapping

3. Augmented Mitigation Plan

Zero Trust in Automotive

NIST SP 800-207 meets vehicle as mobile digital platform

Interior: CAN / CAN FD, TSN Ethernet, ECUs

Exterior: OTA servers, mobile apps, V2X infrastructure



Core Roles (verticalised):

"Trust no bus, ECU or external endpoint"

PEP (vehicular gateway) enforces access rules on CAN / Ethernet

PDP (cloud) validates OTA updates, remote commands, data-access requests

PAP / Policy Engine orchestrate dynamic policies (e.g., driver identity, geolocation, SW version)

3. Augmented Mitigation Plan

Pseudonymisation in Automotive

Asset-threat examples:

VIN \rightarrow fleet analytics; **Driver ID** \rightarrow shared-mobility logs; **GPS** \rightarrow route profiling

Counter – ensures internal traceability without external linkage

RNG – can be used for synthetic datasets or simulation

Cryptographic hash – can provide a fixed-length, irreversible pseudonym

MAC – allows only authorised parties to derive or validate the pseudonym

Encryption – suitable for reversible pseudonymisation where re-identification is required

Data Minimisation in Automotive

Recognise data sources: identify which sensor/ECU streams are essential; discard non-critical data upstream

Apply selection and filtering logic: use local filtering, anonymisation, aggregation or deletion before telematics or app upload

Design data-aware architectures: ensure ECUs transmit only on-demand (e.g., throttle position sent only on diagnostic/error)

Separate technical data from personal information: send content metadata (e.g., media type) without user identity unless strictly required and consented

Handle GPS tracking with care: reduce transmission frequency or share derived context (e.g., "congested area") instead of raw coordinates

3. Augmented Mitigation Plan

Augmented Mitigation Plan Results

 TABLE I

 Augmented Mitigations for STRIDE-identified Threats at L1-L2

Asset	S	Т	R	I	D	Е
Cryptographic	ZT,	ZT,		ZT,		
Keys	DM	DM		DM		
Device Identity	ZT, PS	ZT, PS	ZT, PS	ZT, PS		ZT, PS
Authentication	ZT,	ZT,		ZT,		
Credentials	DM	DM		DM		
Secure Boot Mea-	ZT,	ZT,		ZT,		
sures	DM	DM		DM		
V2X Messages	ZT,	ZT,		ZT,		
(Signed &	DM,	DM,		DM,		
Encrypted)	PS	PS		PS		
Secure Communi-	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	-	ZT,
cation Channels	DM,	DM,	DM,	DM,		DM,
	PS	PS	PS	PS		PS
Middleware Inter-	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	-	ZT,
faces	DM	DM	DM	DM		DM
Logging and Au-		ZT,	ZT,	ZT,		
dit Records		DM,	DM	DM		
		PS				
Key Management	ZT.	ZT,	ZT.	ZT,	-	ZT,
Infrastructure	DM,	DM,	DM,	DM,		DM,
	PS	PS	PS	PS		PS
RSU Trust Com-	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	-	-
ponents	DM,	DM	DM,	DM,		
L	PS		PS	PS		

TABLE II Augmented Mitigations for LINDDUN-identified Threats at L1-L2

Asset	L	I	Ν	D	D	U	Ν
Vehicle Identifiers	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,			ZT,
	DM,	DM,	DM,	DM,			DM,
	PS	PS	PS	PS			PS
Location	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	
Information	DM	DM	DM	DM	DM	DM	
Communication	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,		
Metadata	DM	DM	DM	DM	DM		
User Behavior &	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	-	ZT,	ZT,
Preferences	DM,	DM,	DM,	DM,		DM,	DM,
	PS	PS	PS	PS		PS	PS
Transmitted Mes-		ZT,		ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	
sage Content		DM,		DM,	DM,	DM,	
		PS		PS	PS	PS	
Log Data with	ZT,						
Personal Context	DM						
OTA Update					ZT,	ZT,	
Records					DM	DM	
Cloud-Linked	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,	ZT,		ZT,	ZT,
Identifiers	DM,	DM,	DM,	DM,		DM,	DM,
	PS	PS	PS	PS		PS	PS

3. Augmented Mitigation Plan

Takeaways

- + PS/DM selectively reinforce privacy controls
- + HAI loop improves both coverage and expert trust threats and their composition
- ZT is broadly applicable but not universal

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Augmented Mitigation Plan
- 4. Conclusions

Conclusions

We presented a primer on the **SCAR4SUD Framework** for **security and privacy in the automotive domain**

It supports security-and-privacy aware automotive architectures rooted in **risk assessment** with a **multi-level HAI methodology**

Future work:

- Model assurance, explainability
- Adversarial robustness of the AI components



References

Project Website https://scar4sud-project.dmi.unict.it/



https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/security/develop/threat-modeling-tool-threats #stride-model

https://linddun.org/

https://www.mozillafoundation.org/en/privacynotincluded/articles/its-official-cars-a re-the-worst-product-category-we-have-ever-reviewed-for-privacy/

https://cybernews.com/privacy/smart-cars-vs-privacy-a-driverless-car-could-generat e-100-gb-of-data-per-second/

https://techcrunch.com/2023/05/12/toyota-japan-exposed-millions-locations-videos/

Disclaimer: Icons in this presentation were obtained from www.flaticon.com

M. Raciti – IOLTS 2025

Thanks for your attention!

For more information or questions:



mario.raciti@imtlucca.it - mario.raciti@phd.unict.it





@tsumarios



https://linkedin.com/in/marioraciti



Non-malicious QR (maybe)